

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov


APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/121,152	10/19/1998	STEVEN SAY-KYOUN OW	20565-0111	2999
29052	7590 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET. N.E.			ALVO, MARC S	
ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/121,152	OW ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Steve Alvo	1731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	in the period set fo of the appeal.	rth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		, NOTE ! !)		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			simplifying the	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.	
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		anarata timaly fila	d amandmant	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does N	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or to ould be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 21-41.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	A / I	ž	
10. Other:		Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are still felt to be obvious over the teachings of the Japanese Patent.